

**MINUTES OF THE OPEN SESSION OF THE DEVONPORT CITY COUNCIL
HELD IN THE ABERDEEN ROOM, LEVEL 2, paranapple centre, 137 ROOKE STREET, DEVONPORT
ON MONDAY, 25 FEBRUARY 2019 COMMENCING AT 5:30PM**

Meeting	From	To	Time Occupied
Open Session	5:30pm	6:43pm	1 hour 13 minutes
Closed Session	6:45pm	6:55pm	10 minutes
Total			1 hour 23 minutes

PRESENT: Cr A Rockliff (Mayor)
Cr A Jarman (Deputy Mayor)
Cr J Alexiou
Cr G Ennis
Cr P Hollister
Cr L Laycock
Cr S Milbourne
Cr L Murphy
Cr L Perry

Council Officers:

General Manager, P West
Deputy General Manager, M Atkins
Executive Manager Corporate & Business Services, J Griffith
Executive Manager Organisational Development, K Peebles
Community Services Officer, K Hampton
Executive Officer, J Surtees
Media & Communication Officer, N Tapp

Audio Recording:

All persons in attendance were advised that it is Council policy to record Council meetings, in accordance with Council's Audio Recording Policy. The audio recording of this meeting will be made available to the public on Council's website for a minimum period of six months.

1.0 APOLOGIES

There were no apologies received.

2.0 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following Declaration of Interest was advised:

Cr Milbourne	5.6	Bass Strait Maritime Centre Café Lease
--------------	-----	--

3.0 PROCEDURAL

3.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1.1 COUNCIL MEETING - 29 JANUARY 2019

19/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry
SECONDED: Cr Hollister

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 29 January 2019 as circulated be confirmed.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

3.2.1 RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS RAISED AT PRIOR MEETINGS

20/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Perry

That the responses to questions from Wendy Hilditch, Douglas Janney and Trevor Smith at the 29 January 2019 Council meeting, Rodney Russell at the 11 February 2019 Infrastructure Works and Development Committee meeting, together with a follow up letter from Mr Janney and Council's response, be noted.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3.2.2 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

21/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Alexiou

SECONDED: Cr Hollister

That Council in relation to the correspondence received from Mr Malcolm Gardam and Mr Bob Vellacott, endorse the responses proposed and authorise their release.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

3.2.3 QUESTION WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

JACK GREY – 38 SURREY STREET, DEVONPORT

I turn 34 years old this year and I have a question about Climate Change Policy and the Council's attitude. I've never lived in my lifetime through a month wherein the

global average sea and land surface temperature has been below the twentieth century average and the last five years as you probably know were all in the top five warmest on record, pretty much since global records began. The Bureau of Meteorology has informed us that January was the hottest and driest month ever in Tasmania and it looks likely that this trend will continue.

If we want to mitigate the worst effects of climate change, then we have to take action now through the choices we make today and no one of us is too small to fight this problem. Obviously we have to reduce the emission of greenhouse gases. I fully realise climate change adaptation is a policy priority for Council's all over Australia, but you may not know that there are now 300 Councils around the World that have declared that we are in a climate emergency and so in short I would ask the Devonport City Council if they would consider becoming part of the Worldwide Local Council movement that declares that we are in a climate emergency?

Response

The Mayor advised that the question would be taken on notice and a response provided in writing.

MALCOLM GARDAM – 4 BEAUMONT DRIVE, MIANDETTA

Q1. It is noted in the current Agenda at Page 162, Item 1.5 of the General Managers Report that *“Met with a Tas Audit Office representative to discuss a review process which the Office has been undertaking during the past 12 months”*; will the General Manager confirm if this is a normal process and if not what is the purpose of this review?

Response

The General Manager responded that Council staff regularly meet with officers of the Audit Department. There has been a review process being undertaken of a number of councils in the State and the Audit Office are currently preparing a report and Devonport City Council is one of those councils. The report is confidential until such time as it is tabled in Parliament.

Q2. It has been included in the current Agenda on Page 40 that *“Council has previously identified a construction budget of \$15m for the waterfront parkland.”* and *“The Federal Government has committed \$10m towards the development with the remainder being funded by Council.”* While this may be the best construction estimate until design is completed and tenders received does Council maintain an overall cumulative costing including both property acquisitions and development costs, being all operational and capital expenditures, in order that an accurate overall cost can be disclosed to ratepayers instead of ad hoc disclosures of property purchases and budget amounts for intended work going forward? (is it not true that the actual cost to ratepayers will be in the order of \$12m on completion and therefore a total cost of about \$22m for the Waterfront Precinct?)

Response

The General Manager advised that budget items are disclosed in the Capital Program and the \$15M referred to is for the Waterfront development and has been identified in the forward plan. In relation to previous capital purchases and those types of things, they have all been disclosed. There is no single document at this stage (which provides cumulative costings). That type of information has not been asked for by the Council in the past.

RODNEY RUSSELL – 225 STEELE STREET, DEVONPORT

This evening Council will discuss the artwork in the apse of the old gallery.

Q1 Would it be better for Council to not have any permanent artwork affixed to the new gallery?

Response

The Mayor responded that as you have said it is a matter for discussion tonight, so it will be discussed as part of the agenda.

Q2 I see where Council received seven quotes for cleaning of Council facilities with their price printed in the agenda for ratepayers to view. Could Council when making leasing arrangements with operators make them aware that their agreements and amount and the amount will be printed in the next Council agenda?

Response

The General Manager advised that the process (for the review of tenders) is outlined in the tender document where it is made clear whether the information will be disclosed publicly. That is a decision for Council, at some stage if that is the way they wish to proceed with other items, then Council would need to make that determination.

DOUGLAS JANNEY – 23 WATKINSON STREET, DEVONPORT

McBride Street

Monday/Tuesday last week a machine was removing lichen from part of the road surface. Not all the road surface was so treated.

Q1 What was the purpose of this activity as this road was only part done?

Response

The Mayor advised that the question would be taken on notice and a response provided in writing.

Item 5.1

On p27 under DISCUSSION it was written that all the "tenders were conforming: P29 under CONCLUSION states the Lazaro tender "meets Council's requirements"!

Q2 So what is the difference between these two statements?

Response

The General Manager advised that nothing is different between those two statements, apart from in the introduction of any tender report we will outline whether the tenders received were conforming and when it comes to the conclusion, in this case it is being suggested the Lazaro Tender was the best value for Council. Whereas, if for some other reason another tenderer was recommended, but say it was at a higher value, there would be further commentary around why it was determined to be recommended to Council.

JENNIE CLAIRE – 57 GUNN STREET, DEVONPORT

President Trump wants a Wall and Mayor Rockliff wants a Walkway. These structures have a lot in common: they both would be a blot on the landscape, expensive to build, costly to maintain, serve no useful purpose and be a shocking waste of money.

It's up to Americans to worry about the wall but it's up to Devonport residents to worry about the walkway: the giant steel edifice that will jut out over the river at a height of nine metres, and indeed, it is up to Devonport residents to worry about the 'revised' parkland plan to which community outcry has achieved only minor changes.

This plan still includes three dangerous zebra crossings, an unfenced playground beside the river, a toilet block on the riverbank, a woodland area which will impede the holding of events and the cutting down of heritage trees including the magnificent tree beside the busts of Enid and Joe.

Q1 My question tonight is this, 'Is the Council aware that this issue has divided our community and that a considerable number of Devonport residents are opposed to the Parkland Plan?'

Response

The Mayor advised that she would take it as an opinion rather than a question.

Q2 The EMRS Survey contained two questions relating to the Living City: one question being about the continuance of the Living City Project, as a whole and the other question relating specifically to the Waterfront Park. This question asked how likely respondents and their families would be to visit the eight listed features of the Park.

The agenda shows that voting on whether to accept the revised parkland plan is going to happen tonight when we get to Item 5.2 and yet and to my knowledge and I think this information is correct, the survey results have not yet been received and are not yet available to Councillors.

It would seem the cart is being put before the horse!

Given Council's desire to avoid reputational damage by engaging in meaningful community consultation it may have been more prudent to have waited until the survey results were available before Councillors were expected to vote on the very important question of whether to accept the parkland plan.

If the Council goes ahead with this item on the agenda tonight in the absence of the survey results this would seem to indicate that the Council, although prepared to spend \$15,000 on the survey, is not very concerned with the opinions of it's constituents as contained in the results.

So, my question tonight is:

Does the Council intend to proceed with this agenda item in the absence of the survey results or have you got the survey results and have had time to study them carefully?

Response

The General Manager responded that due to the issue of the Waterfront being on the agenda, it was requested the results for the two Waterfront questions be provided to Council earlier today, which has since been circulated to the Councillors. The purpose of the survey was not just in relation to the Waterfront development, but there were questions in relation to other matters around Council's review of its Strategic Plan.

The Councillors are in receipt of the particular responses to questions eight and nine on the survey which related directly to the Waterfront Precinct.

MARY-ELLEN JAMES – 1 MADDEN CRESCENT, DEVONPORT

I too am concerned about the Waterfront development. I am very concerned about the safety and the aesthetics of the proposed construction and the demolition of the beautiful tree . I feel that the construction jutting out over the water would not be conducive to the betterment of our city and I would like to ask if there is a means by which the residents can have more of an input as to how this is developed or not developed. I would also like to know what the benefit is hoped for by building a huge hotel complex on the waterfront in the place where the old Harris Scarfe building is when the Gateway is never full and that we already have an abundance of hotel

accommodation. Would that area be better used for other endeavours around the place where other businesses to come in, because we certainly do need more in the development in the CBD, it being too fragmented and people are not being able to sustain their businesses. I have never seen so many shops empty as there are now.

So what has Council got in mind to help to bring the CBD back into a cohesive and functioning place for our Living City?

Response

The Mayor advised that she appreciated the thought put into the questions, there were several there. I guess my response would be that in relation to the Living City there has certainly been consultation for three years now, over the whole project and Council have certainly had lots of input from lots of people. My response to your question on the CBD is that I personally haven't ever seen it as busy and the shops as full as they are right now and certainly part of Living City.

The questions would be responded to in writing.

BOB VELLACOTT – 11 COCKER PLACE, DEVONPORT

My first question tonight is on the elevated walkway and the estimated costs.

Q1 As you will be deciding tonight as to whether or not you will be progressing to the eventual construction of the elevated walkway have you now obtained an estimate for the construction and maintenance of the structure? If so, what is the amount? If you have not obtained the estimates how can you make a considered decision tonight? I would suggest proceeding at this point in time without that information would be most unprofessional.

Response

The Deputy General Manager advised that Council has had a quantity surveyor provide a preliminary estimate for the design as it currently sits and as is noted in the agenda the current design sits within the \$15M construction budget that Council has currently allocated.

Q2 This is in relation to the service road for the proposed hotel. When and what was the reason Council decided that the service road necessary for the Waterfront hotel would be subsidised ie – constructed and maintained using ratepayers funds? Also are you aware of what is the estimated construction and ongoing costs will be for the service road and will you inform what the amounts are?

Response

The General Manager advised that the issue of the service road was discussed by Council as part of the decision for the land sale, but also the development of the hotel. Council has certainly been aware that is included in relation to being part of the parkland development. In relation to the costs, it will become similar to any road asset that Council has, it will need to be included on Council's Asset Register and depreciated and maintained. As to the cost it will be no more and no less I suppose than any other road that Council maintains in a serviceable condition.

JASON IVORY – 10 GLEN COURT, DEVONPORT

I won't bang on about the Living City I think it's a great development.

Q1 The Mersey Vale Memorial Wall, does Council have plans to put something in place that families can actually grieve apart from Mother's Day, Father's Day and Christmas Day. Currently you can take out there now and put your things in place on those three particular dates and they are removed. Are we allowed to grieve our loved ones on their birthday?

Response

The Mayor advised that has been the subject of some discussion and we are still looking at a way forward for that and certainly we are not done yet.

Q2 I noticed recently the Victoria Parade boat ramp, which again I think is a pretty good development for the space that was there it has come under a little bit of fire again with the Senior Citizens group wanting to use that carpark. I don't believe that is probably fair when there is only two locations in Devonport that you can launch a boat with limited parking. RVs are allowed to park in both of these areas and if you allow Senior Citizens to park in the major boat ramp in the Devonport municipality, where do the recreational fishermen and commercial fishermen park. Also the western side of Victoria Parade that was designed as part of the reworks to allow trailers to pull over there, unload, get their gear ready before proceeding to the boat ramp. I noticed that is fully parked up with cars now, so what's the chance of plans moving forward with that?

Response

The Mayor advised that we did open up the car parking which is opposite the Fire Station to two hour parking to hopefully alleviate some of the problems that they have at the Sixty and Over Club. I'm not sure that we've completely resolved it but at this point we have no intention of changing the rules around boat ramp parking.

ALI BUTCHER – 133 PARKER STREET, DEVONPORT

I was a candidate for the Council Elections, I'm sad not to be at the table, but I am really pleased you guys are feeling the pressure tonight. One of my ongoing concerns that I see is (I have a background in early childhood education and care), I'm quite concerned that our parkland developments and our areas intended for children are actually not modelled on best practice for children's learning and development. I keep seeing architects having their input. I've spoken to people about which development expert they have spoken to.

Q1 Does Council have a plan to start looking at who should be included in the consultation process for children's learning and development in our community in all our parks going forward?

Response

The Mayor advised that we don't have a specific plan but obviously Council always aim to, certainly in recent times put developments that we've done in parks for children's playgrounds out for community consultation and asked for feedback around those and I can't see any reason why that will change into the future.

MALCOLM GARDAM – 4 BEAUMONT DRIVE, MIANDETTA

Q3. The General Manager has advised that *"....the demolition of the Harris Scarfe building is part of the contract that Council has entered with Fairbrother in relation to their purchase of that part of that area, about half, which the building is actually situated on...."* Observation is that the demolition methodology adopted (deconstruct the building) is labour intensive and not necessarily the cheapest approach for ratepayers. If a contribution to costs is involved; accordingly can Council confirm that ratepayers have not or will not contribute in some way to the demolition of the Harris Scarfe building?

Response

The General Manager advised that the process, I think I have been asked similar questions previously, is that it forms part of the sale contract with Fairbrother. In relation to the actual cost of the demolition, Council sought other quotes to compare the price in relation to what it was going to cost and its contribution if a different demolition

contractor was used. So it was market tested and certainly Council is getting value for money from that process.

JENNIE CLAIRE – 57 GUNN STREET, DEVONPORT

Q3 Could I just have a follow-up to my previous question in view of the fact that the survey results for those two questions are known and I did ask the question is the Council aware that the community is divided on this issue, which I don't think was actually answered. The answer was just given that the survey results are known. Would you like to share with us what the survey results were in the sense just specifically of that question.

Response

The Mayor advised that will form part of Council's discussion around this matter. The results certainly show that there are some for and against, but there is a fairly definite trend one way or the other and it will form part of the conversation later in the evening.

3.3 NOTICES OF MOTION

3.3.1 PRESERVATION OF WORK BY PHILIP WOLFHAGEN - NOTICE OF MOTION - CR A JARMAN (D567618)

22/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Jarman

SECONDED: Cr Alexiou

That Council take all necessary steps to ensure the preservation of the work of Philip Wolfhagen in the old Art Gallery building including if there is any subsequent sale of said property at 45 Stewart Street.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

4.0 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

There were no items to consider as a Planning Authority.

5.0 REPORTS

5.1 TENDER REPORT CONTRACT 1328 CLEANING OF COUNCIL FACILITIES AND OFFICES (D561624)

23/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Hollister

That Council in relation to Contract 1328 for Cleaning of Council Facilities and Offices:

- award the 2-year contract to Lazaro Pty Ltd for the tendered sum of \$263,146 per annum (ex GST);

2. note the contract allows for three one-year contract extensions; and
3. accept the schedule of rates provided in the tender for extra cleaning services - Table 2.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5.2 COMMUNITY CONSULTATION - WATERFRONT PRECINCT (D563991)

24/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister

SECONDED: Cr Perry

That Council receive the report regarding the Waterfront Precinct consultation and:

1. note the community feedback received;
2. proceed with tender documentation based on the design as submitted for the development application, subject to improvements as outlined in this report and receipt of a planning permit; and
3. endorse investigations into opportunities for a light show as a potential value add to the waterfront park and evening visitor attraction.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman		✓			

CARRIED

5.3 REVIEW OF TASMANIA'S LOCAL GOVERNMENT LEGISLATION FRAMEWORK (D566197)

25/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council in relation to the Review of Tasmania's Local Government Legislation Framework Discussion Paper:

- (a) receive and note the report; and
- (b) endorse the comments as outlined within this report as the Council's submission to the Discussion Paper.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Enniss	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5.4 DEVONPORT CITY SOCCER CLUB - REQUEST FOR FUNDING (D566989)

26/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Jarman

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council:

- (a) approve a contribution of \$40,000 to the Devonport City Soccer Club's upgrade of facilities in the 2018/19 financial year from savings identified in the Recreation Development budget, and
- (b) the Club be required to provide a copy of the acquittal submitted to the Commonwealth to confirm the expenditure on the project.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Enniss	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

5.5 KELCEY TIER DRAFT MASTER PLAN (D567006)

27/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister

SECONDED: Cr Perry

That the report relating to the Kelcey Tier Draft Master Plan:

- (a) be received and noted; and
- (b) Council consider the outcomes of the consultation and the recommendations of the Consultant at a future Workshop prior to determining its final position in relation to the Master Plan.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Enniss	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Cr Milbourne having declared an interest in the next item left the meeting at 6:36pm.

5.6 BASS STRAIT MARITIME CENTRE CAFE LEASE (D567099)**28/19 RESOLUTION**

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Jarman

That Council in relation to the Bass Strait Maritime Centre Café:

- (a) receive and note the report;
- (b) accept Paul and Claire Fielding's offer to provide a Café service at the Centre; and
- (c) authorise the General Manager to finalise a lease agreement between Council and Paul and Claire Fielding.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Jarman	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Cr Milbourne returned to the meeting at 6:38pm.

5.7 DEVONPORT GYMNASTICS CLUB (D567903)**29/19 RESOLUTION**

MOVED: Cr Jarman

SECONDED: Cr Perry

That Council receive and note the report regarding the proposed facility for the Devonport Gymnastics Club at Maidstone Park and approve the new location situated on the current bowls greens for the development.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6.0 INFORMATION**6.1 WORKSHOPS AND BRIEFING SESSIONS HELD SINCE THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING (D561331)****30/19 RESOLUTION**

MOVED: Cr Perry

SECONDED: Cr Hollister

That the report advising of Workshop/Briefing Sessions held since the last Council meeting be received and the information noted.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6.2 MAYOR'S MONTHLY REPORT (D563528)**31/19 RESOLUTION**

MOVED: Cr Milbourne

SECONDED: Cr Laycock

That the Mayor's monthly report be received and noted.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6.3 GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT - FEBRUARY 2019 (D561345)**32/19 RESOLUTION**

MOVED: Cr Hollister

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That the report of the General Manager:

- (a) be received and noted; and
- (b) Council formally adopt the updated Code of Conduct as attached.

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

7.0 SECTION 23 COMMITTEES**7.1 INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING - 11 FEBRUARY 2019 (D567143)****33/19 RESOLUTION**

MOVED: Cr Murphy

SECONDED: Cr Laycock

That the minutes of the Infrastructure Works and Development Committee meeting held on Monday, 11 February 2019 be received and the recommendations contained therein be adopted.

- IWC 02/19 Tender Report Contract CT0169 Formby & Best Street Intersection Improvements **(Approved Under Delegation)**
- IWC 03/19 Tender Report Contract CT0233 Adelaide Street Kerb Renewal **(Approved Under Delegation)**
- IWC 04/19 Bike Route Hierarchy
- IWC 05/19 Victoria Parade Parking in the Vicinity of the Senior Citizen's Club and the Boat Ramp
- IWC 06/19 Ronald Stormwater Catchment Risk Assessment
- IWC 07/19 Cradle Coast Waste Governance
- IWC 08/19 Development and Health Services Report
- IWC 09/19 Infrastructure and Works Report

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

EFFECTIVE DECISIONS OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE CONFIRMED BY COUNCIL

IWC 02/19 Tender Report Contract CT0169 Formby & Best Street Intersection Improvements – Approved Under Delegated Authority

That the Infrastructure, Works and Development Committee in relation to CT0169 Formby and Best Street Intersection Improvements and in accordance with the delegated authority provided to it by Council under Minute 214/18:

- a) award the contract to Kentish Construction and Engineering Company Pty Ltd (trading as Treloar Transport) for the tendered sum of \$192,516(ex GST);
- b) note that Telstra & TasNetworks relocations costs for the project are estimated at \$20,502 (ex GST);
- c) note that traffic signal relocation works are estimated at \$15,000
- c) note that costs to supply light poles and plants to the project are estimated at \$6,200 (ex GST);
- d) project management costs for the project are estimated at \$8,000 (ex GST); and
- e) note a contingency allowance of \$19,252 (ex GST).

IWC 03/19 Tender Report Contract CT0233 Adelaide Street Kerb Renewal – Approved Under Delegated Authority

That the Infrastructure, Works and Development Committee in relation to Contract CT0233 Adelaide Street Kerb Renewal and in

accordance with the delegated authority provided to it by Council under Minute 214/18:

- a) award the contract to Civilscape Contracting Tasmania for the tendered sum of \$135,749 (ex GST);
- b) note that Telstra costs for the project are estimated at \$1,330 (ex GST);
- c) project management costs for the project are estimated at \$21,000 (ex GST); and
- e) note a contingency allowance of \$18,575 (ex GST).

IWC 04/19 Bike Route Hierarchy

That the report of the Infrastructure and Works Manager be noted and that the Bike Route hierarchy be adopted.

IWC 05/19 Victoria Parade Parking in the Vicinity of the Senior Citizen's Club and the Boat Ramp

That the report of the Infrastructure and Works Manager be noted and that Council convert the existing twelve metered spaces on east side of Victoria Parade (south of the railway crossing) to free two-hour parking.

IWC 06/19 Ronald Stormwater Catchment Risk Assessment

That the report of the Infrastructure and Works Manager regarding Ronald catchment be noted and that forward capital works funding currently allocated for projects in the Ronald catchment be deferred and funding reallocated to other high priority stormwater projects.

IWC 07/19 Cradle Coast Waste Governance

That the Council agree to the eleven recommendations included within the Waste Governance Report as follows:

1. That the preferred option to be adopted as the waste management governance model is an expanded Dulverton Waste Management Joint Authority.
2. That consideration be given to establish a new consulting and project management unit within the Dulverton Waste Management Joint Authority, to provide consulting services to the Cradle Coast Councils (as required).
3. That a two-stage approach be adopted where:
 - Stage 1 would deliver the existing regional waste services plus administration and financial services. That the rules of the DWM Joint Authority be amended to allow Burnie City Council, Waratah-Wynyard Council and Circular Head to become members and a members' representative group be formed. This group (as distinct from full members) would be responsible for the oversight of the consulting and project management operations.
 - Stage 2 would progress with the consent of the owner councils and if Stage 1 delivered improved services and outcomes for all councils. This stage would require an extensive asset revaluation, consultation with all

stakeholders, owners and users, and finally a transfer of assets to DWM.

4. It is proposed that the CCWVG be dissolved when the transfer of responsibility for the delivery of programs and projects to the DWM Joint Authority is complete (Stage 1).
5. That the proposed indicative pricing structure as listed below, be accepted. The proposal is while the levy remains stable at \$5.00 per tonne, DWM would provide the current pricing structure:
 - Fixed project management charge for levy projects of \$94,245
 - Would undertake administration and financial services and would absorb the costs currently undertaken by the CCA (currently \$6,300)
 - Continue to contract manage the regional contracts under the existing arrangements for:
 - Kerbside recycling – no charge
 - Green waste recycling – 3% on charge
 - The fixed project management fee to increase by Hobart CPI or CCI each 1 July, commencing on 1 July 2019 with CPI or CCI determined at the start of the agreement by the members representative group
 - A change in the levy rate would necessitate a review of the fee structure.
6. It is proposed that the General Manager will be responsible to ensure the timely, accurate and consistent delivery of data on the operation of their council's transfer stations is provided to DWM.
7. It is proposed that the budget include some discretionary allocation of monies for projects that fall within or meet agreed criteria, then approval of these projects can be authorised by the DWM CEO. Any authorisation would be reported at the next meeting of the members' representative group.
8. It is proposed that DWM be engaged to undertake a follow-up safety audit of the transfer stations and to prepare an action plan and costing for each facility.
9. It is proposed that a qualified risk management consultant or DWM conduct a risk assessment of the operations at all transfer stations and develop a risk management plan or upgrade the plan if one exists.
10. It is proposed that all councils adopt the DWM transfer station operational policies, practices and procedure documents as soon as practicable, along with the training to support these documents.

11. It is proposed that a customer awareness campaign focusing on the true cost of disposal of waste at a transfer station be implemented.

IWC 08/19 Development and Health Services Report

That the Development Services Report be received and noted.

IWC 09/19 Infrastructure and Works Report

That the Infrastructure and Works report be received and noted, and that Council authorise the \$200,000 budget allocation for "Brooke Street Upgrade - Caroline Catchment Stage 1" be reallocated to a new project "John Stormwater Catchment Upgrade".

8.0 CLOSED SESSION

34/19 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry

SECONDED: Cr Milbourne

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* the following items be dealt with in Closed Session:

Item No	Matter	Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Reference
8.1	Confirmation of Closed Minutes – Council Meeting – 29 January 2019	15(2)(g)
8.2	Application for Leave of Absence	15(2)(i)
8.3	Shared Audit Panel – Appointment of Independent Members	15(2)(g)
8.4	Community Development Grants Programme – Grant Deed – LIVING CITY Waterfront	15(2)(g)

	For	Against		For	Against
Cr Rockliff	✓		Cr Laycock	✓	
Cr Alexiou	✓		Cr Milbourne	✓	
Cr Ennis	✓		Cr Murphy	✓	
Cr Hollister	✓		Cr Perry	✓	
Cr Jarman	✓				

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Mayor adjourned the meeting at 6:43pm to reconvene in Closed Session at 6:45pm.

The Council moved out Closed Session at 6:55pm.

Council resumed in open session at 6:55pm.

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolved to report that it had determined the following:

Item No	Matter	Outcome
8.1	Confirmation of Closed Minutes - Council Meeting - 29 January 2019	Confirmed
8.2	Application for Leave of Absence	
8.3	Shared Audit Panel - Appointment of Independent Members	Appointment process agreed.
8.4	Community Development Grants Programme - Grant Deed - LIVING CITY Waterfront	Authorised General Manager to finalise and execute Grant Deed. Once signed in conjunction with the Federal Government release details of the grant.

CLOSURE

There being no further business on the agenda the meeting was declared closed at 6:55pm.

Confirmed

Chairman