

MINUTES OF THE OPEN SESSION OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF THE DEVONPORT CITY COUNCIL HELD IN THE ABERDEEN ROOM, LEVEL 2, paranaple centre, 137 ROOKE STREET, DEVONPORT ON MONDAY 27 JULY 2020 COMMENCING AT 5:30 PM

Meeting	From	To	Time Occupied
Open Session	5:30pm	6:59pm	1 hour 29 minutes
Closed Session	7:00pm	7:40pm	40 minutes
Total			2 hours 9 minutes

Present

- Cr A Rockliff (Mayor)
- Cr A Jarman (Deputy Mayor)
- Cr J Alexiou
- Cr G Enniss
- Cr P Hollister
- Cr L Laycock
- Cr S Milbourne
- Cr L Murphy
- Cr L Perry

Council Officers:

- General Manager, M Atkins
- Deputy General Manager, J Griffith
- Executive Manager People & Finance, K Peebles
- Executive Manager City Growth, M Skirving
- Executive Officer, J Surtees
- Community Services Manager, K Hampton
- Convention & Arts Director, G Dobson

Recording: All persons in attendance were advised that it is Council policy to record Council meetings, in accordance with Council's Digital Recording Policy. The digital recording of this meeting will be made available to the public on Council's website for a minimum period of six months. The meeting was also live streamed on Council's You Tube channel.

1 APOLOGIES

There were no apologies received.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following Declarations of Interest were advised:

Councillor	Item Number	Title
Cr Alexiou	5.5	Sports Infrastructure Master Plan Working Group Membership
Cr Milbourne	3.2.2, 3.2.3, 5.5 & 8.2	Responses to Questions Raised at Prior Meetings, Questions on Notice from the Public, Sports Infrastructure Master Plan Working Group Membership
Cr Enniss	5.5	Sports Infrastructure Master Plan Working Group Membership

3 PROCEDURAL

3.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES - COUNCIL MEETING - 22 JUNE 2020

20/29 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Milbourne

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 22 June 2020 as previously circulated be confirmed.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

3.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

3.2.1 RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS RAISED AT PRIOR MEETINGS

There were no questions from the 22 June 2020 Council meeting that required a written response.

3.2.2 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Cr Milbourne having declared an interest in Items, 3.2.2 and 3.2.3 left the meeting at 5:33pm.

20/30 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council in relation to the correspondence received from Mr Bob Vellacott, Mr Malcolm Gardam and Mr Christopher Mills endorse the responses proposed and authorise their release.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 8 / 0

3.2.3 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

CHRISTOPHER MILLS – 52 CAROLINE STREET, EAST DEVONPORT

Land at 54 Caroline Street

Q1 At the Council Meeting 22 June, the General Manager stated that he had always accepted and understood the landslip classification noted by the State Government's Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment.

As I have recently assisted Mr Atkins by providing an opinion from the current Minister for Resources (Tasmania) that the landslip zone at 54 Caroline Street quote: *"is largely within the Medium or Medium Active landslide hazard band"* is this the landslip classification for the landslip zone at 54 Caroline Street, that Mr Atkins now accepts?

Q2 Is Mr Atkins a qualified Geotechnical Engineer?

Q3 Are there any accredited Geotechnical Engineers on Council's staff?

Response

The General Manager responded that Council have always accepted the land classification the State Government attribute to that piece of land 54 Caroline Street.

No, I am not a Geotechnical Engineer.

No, Council does not have a Geotechnical Engineer on staff.

BOB VELLACOTT – 11 COCKER PLACE, DEVONPORT**Transparency and Compliance**

Q1 I refer to Council's response in this evening's Agenda to my Question on Notice 1. b) which I asked about Providore Place: "due to the fact that in many instances, the tenants and owners, would be in open competition with, existing unsubsidised rate paying business in the city, did council at that time take into consideration the requirements of the State Economic Regulator (SER), the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (COAG) and the ramifications for non compliance of not only the actual regulations but also the intent of their requirements? And

Q1 d) If Council did take into consideration the above mentioned aspects were all decisions made based on advice from a qualified person and if so who specifically gave that advice.

Council's response for Q1 (d) Was - "All Council resolutions are considered with the proviso of qualified advice as required under section 65 of the Local Government Act".

Mayor I believe the response was somewhat evasive so I again ask that part of my question 1 d) as above "who specifically gave that advice".

In other words please name the person or persons, well, qualified to be able to give the advice; that the agreements and subsidies in regard to Providore Place complied with the requirements of the State Economic Regulator and the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission?

Response

The Mayor responded that the General Manager is responsible for all professional advice provided to Council. He ultimately is responsible for all that is reported to each Council meeting.

Feral Pigeon problems specifically 127 Rooke Street and CBD

Q2 Refer to my questions on notice sent 7th July about the most unsatisfactory ongoing problem of the feral pigeons nesting directly above the footpath/pavement in front of the Noodle Box food shop and on Council property. Of immediate specific concern was the noisome mess of pigeon excreta and vile staining of the newly constructed, unsealed pavement that confronts pedestrians and business people which is to be deplored. And more so for other business owners who, in that vicinity, who have had to tolerate some four years or more of ongoing construction work by Council and others virtually on their door step: with little it appears consideration and assistance given by Council. Further In my letter of the 7th I respectfully requested that immediate attention be given by Council to the problem.

As of Saturday the 25th July I note no action has been taken to actually clean up this vile unhealthy/mess on Council owned footpath. So much for civic pride.

It is all very well for Council to say, as per your response, in this evening's Agenda, that you are" following up this issue with the property owner.

As this; apart from the disgusting look and poor image for the city, is a health issue why is it not reasonable to expect Council to have had this cleaned up immediately?

For your edification I have appended again information pertinent to the many problems including in particular OH&S caused by feral pigeons.

And I note again - "Council takes workplace health and safety very seriously".

If Council is short of funds I will personally provide some money to assist in the cleaning.

Response

The Mayor responded that until tonight's meeting the response hadn't been endorsed by Council, which of course if now has been and included in that is a copy of a fairly extensive report that was completed sometime ago and I do note that we are working with the owner of that property towards a resolution of the problem.

DOUGLAS JANNEY – 23 WATKINSON STREET, DEVONPORT

At the 23rd March meeting I raised the Illegal parking on Wright Street and the answer dated the 31st March that nothing would happen.

The articles in the Advocate on the 23rd and 24th show that the Government has pushed the arrival of new ferries further back and starting afresh on design and construction!

That being so then the Council needs get rid of the Illegal parking forthwith. (immediately).

Some possibilities are: -

1. Remove the cycle tracks on both sides of Wright Street; widen the footpath on the east side of Wright Street and make a 2-way footpath/cycle way
2. Remove the cycle tracks on both sides of Wright Street and relocate to Tarleton Street
3. Remove the cycle tracks on both sides of Wright Street and do nothing else

Whatever the Council does in the short term and for the long term should be at TasPort's cost.

Q1 What and when will the Council now take the necessary action?

Response

The General Manager advised that at this point Council does not expect the delivery timeframe for the Spirits to impact on the East Devonport Gateway project. Discussions in regard to the project are continuing with TasPorts. This includes changes to the road network to improve parking and other port traffic issues which exist.

Q2 When will the hard copy and the online copy of the Agenda have the same page numbers?

Response

The General Manager responded, thank you for raising this issue. We have just recently updated our agenda software and you may notice there are some changes in formatting and presentation to the agenda. We are looking at options to ensure the numbering is consistent for future agendas. By way of background the difference in numbering is a result of the hardcopies not including the attachments. The previous process involved a time consuming work around to align the numbering which we would prefer not to replicate.

MR MALCOLM GARDAM – 4 BEAUMONT DRIVE, MIANDETTA

Q1 I asked the following question as recorded on Page 6 of the current Agenda "With reference to The Advocate article titled "*I tried and I failed*" dated 3/7/20, where it reported that "*Milbourne said he previously paid \$60,000 rent to ex-Providore Place head lessee Grant Hirst.....*" and "*Mr Hirst paid Milbourne a \$5,000 a month (being \$60,000 a year) marketing fee to promote PP on his TV shows.*" **does Council**

categorically deny that the \$5,000 a month “marketing fee” was not a reimbursable or rent deductible amount provided to Providore Place Devonport Pty Ltd, or Mr Grant Hirst, by Council?”

The response in the Agenda is *“Yes correct, Council was not involved in the noted arrangement.”*

In part due to the way I worded the question, I seek clarification as to the response *“Yes correct...”* is actually repudiation that the noted *“marketing fee”* was a cash or in kind reimbursable amount in favour of PPD P/L or Mr Hirst? (Note: I didn't ask if Council was involved in the arrangement just was the marketing fee reimbursable).

Response

The Mayor responded that I can confirm Council did not pay the marketing fee and did not reimburse the fee.

Q2 Council has confirmed on Page 7 of the current Agenda that the square metres of land sold as Lot 1 for the waterfront hotel development was 2,348m² for a sale price of \$1,298,000 including GST.

The initially agreed square metres sold as Lot 1 was 2,315m² and the confirmed final square metres sold for the same \$1,118,000 is 2,348m²; accordingly, my question is, was the additional 33m² sold and valued at \$16,797 based on the initial square metre rate, or as Council likes to state as the sale price \$18,503 including GST, and included as an additional area of land acquisition at no extra cost considered and approved by Councillors?

Response

The Mayor advised that Council approved the sale of lot 1 for the agreed price. Undertaking a final survey as part of the subdivision is normal practice and did not require additional Council approval.

Q3 I asked as Q11 on Page 8 of the current Agenda “... will Council please confirm if Devonport City Council itself supports the submission from LGAT in relation to the legislating of the eight good governance principles? with the response being “Council is not required to endorse or approve submissions made by LGAT and has not specifically considered the submission to which you refer.” However, the response is not to the question asked and I submit the following amended question - Will Council please confirm if Devonport City Council itself supports the submission from LGAT in that the eight good governance principles remain, as what are in effect unenforceable guidelines only, and not be legislated within the Local Government Act and linked to the behaviours in the Councillors' Code of Conduct? (NOTE: Perhaps the DCC representative to LGAT can respond as to why the supporting statement to LGAT's submission included it was “Mostly supported by the sector although in general it was felt by LGAT members that it would be sufficient to maintain these principles as guidelines....” and hence the question to Council).

Response

The Mayor responded, that as stated in our previous response, Council did not specifically consider the submission or the particular point to which you refer, therefore Council does not have a position on the question you raise. However, I would refer you to item 5.3 on tonight's agenda where Council will be considering a report to implement numerous governance related initiatives, well beyond Council's statutory obligations.

MR RODNEY RUSSELL – 225 STEELE STREET, DEVONPORT

Q1 Item 8 closed session – No 8.6 17 Fenton Way Lease Agreement question. If Council can have the address of the business named could you have the name of the business also named to better enable us to know who you are dealing with?

Response

The General Manager advised that the lease is with DS Opco Pty Ltd which is the Spotlight Group.

Q2 Does Devonport City Council record incoming phone calls to its receptionist. If no, why not?

Response

The General Manager responded, no, Council doesn't record incoming telephone calls as it doesn't consider it necessary to do so.

Q3 Victory Avenue, Holyman Street and Stewart Street reconstruction. Did Devonport City Council increase the width of these roads?

Response

The General Manager advised that none of the three roads were increased in width. Holyman Street and Victory Avenue remained the same width, while Stewart Street was actually narrowed.

JENNIE CLAIRE – 57 GUNN STREET, DEVONPORT

Through information that has been revealed in answers to questions at the June 2020 Council meeting we now know that delegation authority beyond the normal practice was given to the General Manager, Mr Matthew Atkins, under the 'State of Emergency' which was declared due to the Covid-19 pandemic. The reason given for this was that Council would not be able to approve DA's in the required timeframes unless the normal rules for delegation were set aside. The consequence was that the development application for 27 house lots which is part of a larger development totalling 76 house lots and two commercial lots was passed without being put before the Planning Authority Committee or a meeting of Council. The normal practice is that delegation can not take place if a representation has been received regarding the application. There was one representation in relation to the Friend Street development so normal practice was also set aside in relation to that. So, although our Councillors, to their credit, did not miss even one Council Meeting this DA was not put before them as they had delegated authority to the GM at Item 9.2 of the March Council Meeting. This is concerning as this is a big development requiring the clearing of native bush and potentially endangered species habitat.

Q1. When a DA goes before a Council or Committee meeting it is included in the minutes of that meeting. As the Friend Street DA was not included in an agenda it is not accessible to the public on the Council website. I have been advised by the General Manager that if I wish to see this application, I would need to submit a Right to Information request. At present the time taken for RTI requests to be processed is around 600 days. Such a request also involves a fee. This means that due to the extra delegation given to the GM due to Covid-19 the DA for this project cannot, in effect, be viewed by the public. Yet, if the DA had been treated in the normal manner it would be easily accessible on the website. Mayor, are you and your Councillors concerned that the details of such a large and important project are hidden from the public especially in view of the Council's aim to operate with transparency? Will you rectify this situation and make the DA for the Friend Street development available to the public immediately?

Q2. Mayor, this question is for yourself and all our Councillors individually. Were you and all our Councillors aware that the DA for the Friend Street development had received

exceptional treatment under the "State of Emergency" powers invoked in response to Covid-19? Were you aware at the time that a representation had been received for this development and yet it was able to be passed by delegated authority? Are you and your Councillors concerned that the proper planning process has been bypassed on what seems to be a questionable pretext?

- Q3.** In an email to me dated June 11, 2020 Mr Atkins stated that 'vegetation clearing and the impact on endangered species are matters outside of Council's jurisdiction and were not part of the assessment process.' Mayor can you clarify if this is the correct procedure? Should the GM have taken into account whether the land fell under the July 4th, 2019 Federal Environmental Protection and Bio Diversity Conservation Act which prohibits the clearing of a critically endangered and wholly protected community such as a Black Gum Forest? Can you clarify whether the land in question is a Black Gum Forest?

Response

The Mayor advised that the development application to which you refer was publicly advertised for two weeks as per statutory requirements. Council is comfortable with the delegations that were put in place to ensure timely processing of applications and the General Manager made Councillors aware of the approval of the application. Council is confident all relevant planning issues were considered as part of the assessment and that the process was undertaken in accordance with Council's requirements as a planning authority. I will point out that Council is required to process RTI requests within 20 days, not 600 as suggested.

In addition to your questions I can also confirm that clearing has occurred on the land to the north of the approved development site and Council is working with the relevant authorities who are currently working through this issue with the Developer.

Cr Milbourne returned to the meeting at 5:54 pm.

3.3 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

At the time of compilation of the agenda, no questions had been received from Councillors.

3.4 NOTICES OF MOTION

3.4.1 PLANTING OF TREES - NOTICE OF MOTION - CR LYNN LAYCOCK

20/31 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Enniss

That Council works closely with the Rotary Club of Devonport North with the planting of trees around our City.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

4 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

4.1 TASMANIAN PLANNING SCHEME - DRAFT DEVONPORT LOCAL PROVISIONS SCHEDULE - REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED DURING PUBLIC EXHIBITION PERIOD

20/32 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry
 SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council in its role as a Planning Authority, and in accordance with section 35F of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, endorse and submit to the Tasmanian Planning Commission this report about the exhibition of the draft Devonport Local Provisions Schedule, which includes the following particulars:

- (a) as set out in **Attachment 1 – Part A**, the Planning Authority's consideration of the received representations including opinions as to the merit of each representation and any subsequent recommendation for modification to the draft LPS;
- (b) as set out in **Attachment 1 - Part B**, the Planning Authority's recommended modifications to the draft LPS following the exhibition period made in accordance with section 35F(2)(c) and 35F(2)(e) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*;
- (c) a copy of each representation received during the public exhibition period (as enclosed with **Attachment 2**); and
- (d) determination that the draft LPS (including those recommendations and modifications described in **Attachment 1 – Part A and Part B**) satisfies the local provisions schedule criteria set out under section 34(2) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Ennis, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
 AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5 REPORTS

5.1 STORMWATER ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN

20/33 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Milbourne
 SECONDED: Cr Alexiou

That Council adopt the Stormwater Asset Management Plan 2020-2023.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Ennis, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
 AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5.2 LOCAL ROADS AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM

20/34 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry
 SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council, subject to the execution of a grant deed:

1. adjust the 2020-21 capital works budget to include the ten nominated capital projects with a combined value of \$471,733; and

2. note additional income and expenditure of \$35,000 for the two nominated operational projects.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5.3 OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY

20/35 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Jarman

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council receive and note the report regarding improving openness and transparency; and

1. Adopt a policy to define governance principles of the organisation including a specific reference to consider matters in Open session as much as possible;
2. Structure the meeting agenda to allow a section for confidential attachments in Closed session with the body of the associated report presented in Open session;
3. Review and update agenda wording referencing matters to be dealt with in closed session;
4. Review and update Staff Code of Conduct and make the document publicly available;
5. Overturn previous resolution requiring the Annual Report to be advertised for four weeks and reduce timeframe to two weeks;
6. Review and update Council's Public Question Time Policy; and
7. Develop a commercial leasing policy with provisions which aim to minimise the use of confidentiality clauses which limit Council's disclosure rights.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5.4 ELECTED MEMBERS' EXPENSE REPORT - MAY-JUNE 2020

20/36 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Milbourne

That it be recommended to Council that the bi-monthly report advising of Councillor allowances and expenses be received and noted.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5.5 SPORTS INFRASTRUCTURE MASTER PLAN WORKING GROUP MEMBERSHIP

Having declared an interest in the following item, Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss and Cr Milbourne left the meeting at 6:22pm.

20/37 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council receive and note the report in relation to the Sports Infrastructure Master Plan Working Group Membership, and:

1. Appoint the following non-Council members to the working group:

Category	Recommended Members
Business/community – 3 members	Stephen Casey Drew Smith Lisa Males
State/Regional Sport Governing Organisations – 2 members	Simon Aufder-Heide Chris McCoy
Tasmania Government Department of Communities, Sport and Recreation – 1 member	Leigh Oswin

2. Amend the Working Group Terms of Reference membership of Council elected members from two members to three, and
3. Appoint Cr Milbourne, Cr Ennis and Cr Alexiou to the working group.

FOR: Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 6 / 0

Cr Alexiou, Cr Ennis and Cr Milbourne returned to the meeting at 6:24pm.

5.6 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE - LOCATION AND OPERATION

20/38 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Milbourne

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council receive and note the report relating to installation and operation of an EV charging station and

1. Confirm that the preferred site to install an EV charger is on the west wall of the second level of the multilevel carpark; and
2. Enter an agreement with Electric Highway Tasmania to lease the site for a 5 + 5 year basis whereby EHT would meet all costs associated with the site and its operation.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Ennis, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5.7 DEVONPORT GENERAL CEMETERY MASTER PLAN 2021-31

20/39 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council adopt the Devonport General Cemetery Master Plan 2021-2031.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

5.8 ESTABLISHMENT OF NEW LEASE AGREEMENTS FOR 13-17 OLDAKER STREET

20/40 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council adopt the template lease agreement as the basis for all subsequent tenancy lease proposals to be presented to Council for consideration, in relation to the property located at 13-17 Oldaker Street, Devonport.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6 INFORMATION

6.1 WORKSHOPS AND BRIEFING SESSIONS HELD SINCE THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

20/41 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Murphy

SECONDED: Cr Laycock

That the report advising of Workshop/Briefing Sessions held since the last Council meeting be received and the information noted.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff

AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6.2 MAYOR'S MONTHLY REPORT

20/42 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Laycock

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That the Mayor's monthly report be received and noted.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr
Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6.3 GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT - JULY 2020

20/43 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Perry
SECONDED: Cr Alexiou

That the report of the General Manager be received and noted.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr
Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6.4 GOVERNANCE AND FINANCE REPORT - MAY AND JUNE 2020

20/44 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister
SECONDED: Cr Millbourne

That the Governance and Finance report be received and noted.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr
Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6.5 COMMUNITY SERVICES REPORT - MAY AND JUNE 2020

20/45 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Jarman
SECONDED: Cr Alexiou

That Council receive and note the Community Services report.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr
Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6.6 ARTS AND CONVENTION REPORT - MAY AND JUNE 2020

20/46 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Alexiou
 SECONDED: Cr Laycock

That Council receive and note the Art and Convention report.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr
 Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
 AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

6.7 UNCONFIRMED MINUTES - DEVONPORT CITY COUNCIL AUDIT PANEL - 9 JUNE 2020

20/47 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Milbourne
 SECONDED: Cr Alexiou

That Council receive and note the unconfirmed minutes of the Audit Panel meeting held on 9 June 2020.

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Milbourne, Cr
 Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
 AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

7 SECTION 23 COMMITTEES

8 CLOSED SESSION

20/48 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister
 SECONDED: Cr Perry

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the following be dealt with in Closed Session.

Item No	Matter	Local Government (Meeting Procedures) 2015 Reference Regulations
8.1	Confirmation of Closed Minutes – Council Meeting – 22 June 2020 and Special Closed Meeting – 20 July 2020	15(2)(g)
8.2	Application for Leave of Absence	15(2)(h)
8.3	Unconfirmed Minutes – Joint Authorities	15(2)(g)
8.4	Outstanding Debtors – 90 Days and Over	15(2)(j)
8.5	Outstanding Rates Debtors – Three Years and Over	15(2)(j)
8.6	17 Fenton Way – Lease Agreement	15(2)(c)
8.7	2019 Audit Panel Evaluation Report	15(2)(g)

FOR: Cr Alexiou, Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Laycock, Cr Millbourne, Cr
Murphy, Cr Perry, Cr Rockliff
AGAINST: nil

Carried 9 / 0

The Mayor adjourned the meeting at 6:59pm to reconvene in Closed Session at 7:00pm.

The Council moved out Closed Session at 7:40pm.

Council resumed in open session at 7:40pm.

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolved to report that it had determined the following:

Item No	Matter	Outcome
8.1	Confirmation of Closed Minutes – Council Meeting – 22 June 2020 & Special Closed Meeting 20 July 2020	Confirmed
8.2	Application for Leave of Absence	Nil
8.3	Unconfirmed minutes - Joint Authorities	Information noted
8.4	Outstanding Debtors - 90 Days and Over	Information noted
8.5	Outstanding Rates Debtors - Three Years and over	Deferred
8.6	17 Fenton Way - Lease Agreement	Lease approved for execution
8.7	2019 Audit Panel Evaluation Report	Information noted and response to be provided

9 CLOSURE

With no further business on the agenda, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 7:40pm

Confirmed

Chairperson