

MINUTES OF THE OPEN SESSION OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF THE DEVONPORT CITY COUNCIL HELD IN THE ABERDEEN ROOM, LEVEL 2, paranapple centre, 137 ROOKE STREET, DEVONPORT ON MONDAY 22 APRIL 2024 COMMENCING AT 5:30 PM

Meeting	From	To	Time Occupied
Open Session	5:30 pm	6:35 pm	65 min
Closed Session	6:41 pm	7:01 pm	20 min
Total			85 min

Present

- Cr A Jarman (Mayor)
- Cr S Sheehan (Deputy Mayor)
- Cr G Enniss
- Cr P Hollister
- Cr S Martin
- Cr A Moore
- Cr L Murphy
- Cr D Viney

Council Officers:

- General Manager, M Atkins
- Deputy General Manager, J Griffith
- Executive Manager, K Lunson
- Executive Manager, M Skirving
- Executive Coordinator, C Jordan
- Community Services Manager, K Hampton
- Convention & Arts Centre Manager, G Dobson
- Finance Manager, J Jackson
- Land Use Planning Coordinator, A Mountney

Audio Recording: All persons in attendance were advised that it is Council policy to record Council meetings, in accordance with Council's Digital Recording Policy. and that the meeting was being live streamed on YouTube. The digital recording of this meeting will be made available to the public on Council's website for a minimum period of six months.

1 APOLOGIES

Cr J Wilczynski recorded an apology for the meeting.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following Declarations of Interest were advised:

Councillor	Item No	Reason	Remaining in Meeting? Yes/No	If remaining, reason/s for decision
Cr Hollister	5.1	Member of Julie Burgess Inc	No	

3 PROCEDURAL

3.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

24/57 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Murphy

SECONDED: Cr Enniss

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 25 March 2024 as previously circulated be confirmed.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney

AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

3.2 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

3.2.1 RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS RAISED AT PRIOR MEETINGS

24/58 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Viney

SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That the response to question from Mr Peter Aylett at the March 2024 Council meeting be noted.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney

AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

3.2.2 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no Questions on Notice from the Public received for the April 2024 meeting.

3.2.3 QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE FROM THE PUBLIC

Douglas Janney, 23 Watkinson Street, Devonport, TAS, 7310

Q1 When will the wall hanging in the foyer that says Queen Elizabeth 2nd is the Queen of Australia be either covered up or removed?

Response

The General Manager responded that we are awaiting notification from the Australian government on this matter and once we receive that, we will make the change.

Wayne Pilgrim, 114 Parker Street, Devonport, TAS, 7310

Q1 A roundabout put on the corner of William Street and Parker Street because I've nearly been t-boned there about five times. My daughter's nearly been killed there because you drive along and then you pull out and you can't see for the parked cars. People are coming at 60km per hour down William street and it's an accident waiting to happen. I'm not a roundabout person but I would like to see a roundabout put there because it could save someone's life one day.

Response

The Mayor advised that this question would be taken on notice and a response provided.

Shane Warren, 2/134 North Fenton Street, Devonport, TAS, 7310

Q1 I still have some questions on the Sports Infrastructure Master Plan and been attempting to connect a few of the dots. It seemed that sometime after the adoption of this sporting infrastructure master plan in December 2021 the tail wagged the dog a bit and the focus of the netball association and the basketball association shifted from Maidstone Park and Forbes Street respectively to the proposed oval precinct. I read also in this sports infrastructure master plan that Girdlestone Park was also an option for a multi-use indoor/outdoor area. However I can't find any reference to that sporting user group being included in the actual consultation process before the sports infrastructure priority investment plan was adopted.

The question is can you confirm or deny please that they were included and, if so, what their view was. If they weren't included, why not?

Response

The Mayor advised that this question would be taken on notice and a written response provided.

Q2 What I also find flawed, that is my word of course, is this disconnection between the sports infrastructure master plan 2021 and the sports infrastructure priority investment plan 2024. There are no plans for what happens at Maidstone Park or Forbes Street upon relocation of netball and basketball based on the priority

investment plan to North Fenton Street and the community were left with a huge expanse of recreation zoned land fit for purpose at Maidstone Park and Girdlestone Park and basically a square peg jammed into a round hole at the oval. Can you explain please why this poor example of strategic recreation planning has been allowed to continue?

Response

The Mayor advised that this question would be taken on notice and a written response provided.

Malcolm Gardam, 4 Beaumont Drive, Miandetta, TAS, 7310

Preamble

My question is directed to the General Manager in relation to advice dated 11th April 2024 received from the Delegate of the Principal Officer under the Right to Information Act being "*That there were no itemised delay cost claims, other than the information provided on the attached financial statement.*" - to be absolutely clear in understanding that statement.

Q1. Will you General Manager clarify once and for all if Vos Constructions was delayed due to Fairbrother Pty Ltd.'s extended occupation of the Waterfront Parkland site, and if so was Vos compensated in any way whatsoever including any financial trade-offs through other avenues under the contract which effectively resulted in Delay Costs being surreptitiously paid by Council?

Response

The General Manager responded that the question will be taken on notice and a written response provided.

Q2. Reference Item 1.4 of the GM's Report on Page 52 of tonight's Agenda – and I quote in part "Met with Vos Construction CEO Kurt Jones regarding finalisation of the Waterfront Park project...." - my question to the General Manager is – did "finalisation of the Waterfront Park project" include resolving outstanding monetary claims for payments made or in dispute and if the answer is yes did that meeting result in adjustments to the contract records already provided by Council to me in response to my RTI request dated 21 February 2024? So finalising the contract – was it to do with monetary matters and does that affect the records that I have already received?

Response

The General Manager responded that we would provide a written response but confirmed that the information provided through the RTI request was correct.

Preamble to Question 3

Reference Section 5.1 Julie Burgess Inc. Financial Support - Page 34 of tonight's Agenda. I hope that Councillors are aware of the following when considering the Officer's recommendation.

- a. As of July 2024 Devonport ratepayers will have contributed \$210,000 to Julie Burgess Inc. (JB Inc.) over the term of the current agreement which has been most generous compared to grants to Home Hill and the Tasmanian Arboretum which I am reliably informed attracts many tourists on a daily basis and is world renowned.

-
- b. The request from JB Inc. is for another approx. \$180,000 over 4 years and the recommendation is for a ratepayer subsidy of approx. \$86,000 over two years.
 - c. The Officer's recommendation equates to annually subsidising 520 passengers to the tune of \$80 each which happens to be the current base fare.
 - d. The Report states that JB Inc. has "*....consistently achieved high passenger numbers compared to Council's operation of the vessel.*" but the annual subsidy requested remains the same plus a further ask for CPI - or around \$1,900 compounding year on year.
 - e. Despite significant ratepayer subsidies the report also includes a concern that JB Inc. may risk being wound up or be unable to satisfactorily maintain the vessel – hardly an organisation showing progress despite significant ratepayer subsidies for the last four years.
 - f. The overly optimistic belief that JB Inc.'s fortunes will somehow improve on completion of the adjacent wharf works in East Devonport, is questionable as the fact remains that access to reliably sheltered waters and favourable weather conditions for sailings does not exist to support a reliable forward sailing schedule.

Q3. The question is – have all Councillors been privy to JB Inc.'s annual financial statements for the last four years and comprehensively shown to what extent passenger numbers need to increase for JB Inc. to run a fully self-funded and financially sustainable operation devoid of ratepayer subsidies?

Response

The Mayor responded that Council has held workshops on this over the time that the new Council group has been together and we certainly have been quite well informed on where it is and what they are asking from us and that is what we are going to make a decision on tonight.

Gabby Burnett, 21 Hiller Street, Devonport, TAS, 7310

Dear Mayor, Councillors and Executive. As the Devonport Council is a company corporation through its registration as a body corporate including its registrations of various ABNs, ACNs etc leads to the following question

Q1 Are the Devonport Council ratepayers shareholders in these companies and if so have any ratepayers personal properties, business properties, land etc., including any council administration offices, council properties, council land, and footpaths and roads not exhaustive, been provided as equity or security for any loans the council has taken out in the past 12 years that are now costing ratepayers over \$1m in interest for the year to date, financial year of \$1,011,605 as of tonight's 31st of March 2024 operations report?

Response

The Mayor advised that this question would be taken on notice and a response provided.

Q2 If Devonport ratepayers are now shareholders of the council's affiliated and registered companies and corporations one would then assume that councillors are directors of all the above leading to the following question. In the best interest of the community whom one presumes are the shareholders of these companies, are the Devonport Councillors directors and shareholders of all affiliated registered companies and corporations of the Devonport Council, if not who are the directors

both executive and non-executive and shareholders of all Devonport Councils affiliated registered companies and corporations including any public private partnerships agreements with NGOs, trusts or foundations and other councils as of the 19th of February and the 22nd of April 2024?

Response

The General responded that council is actually not a company but a local government authority established under the Local Government Act 1993 so we don't have directors or shareholders as such. We are a creature of state government's legislation and a local government authority.

Peter Aylett, 4 Olive Court, Miandetta, TAS, 7310

Q1 In regards to the item I raised last council meeting I was also promised a written response – that's been a month now and I still haven't received anything.

Response

The General Manager responded that a written response had been prepared and was included in the Agenda attachments, however will follow up on the reason why Mr Aylett had not received his letter.

3.3 QUESTIONS ON NOTICE FROM COUNCILLORS

At the time of compilation of the agenda, no questions had been received from Councillors.

3.4 NOTICES OF MOTION

Nil

4 PLANNING AUTHORITY MATTERS

4.1 PA2024.0009 - 52 NICHOLLS STREET, DEVONPORT - RESIDENTIAL (MULTIPLE DWELLINGS X 4)

24/59 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Moore

SECONDED: Cr Martin

That the Planning Authority, pursuant to the provisions of the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Devonport 2020* and section 57 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, approve application PA2024.0009 and grant a Permit to use and develop land identified as 52 Nicholls Street, Devonport for the following purposes:

- Residential (multiple dwellings x 4)

Subject to the following conditions:

-
1. The Use and Development is to proceed generally in accordance with the submitted plans referenced as Residential Unit Development Sheet No A001 & A100, Rev D, dated 21/02/2024, A101, A500 & A901, Rev C, dated 19/02/2024, A200, A202, A300, A301, A304 & A305, Rev A, dated 21/12/2023 & A201, A302, 303 & 900, Rev B, dated 31/01/2024 by S Group, copies of which are attached and endorsed as documents forming part of this Planning Permit.
 2. The developer is to ensure unit numbering on any subsequent applications made under the *Building Act 2016*, reflects those required to meet AS/NZS 4819.2011 *Rural and urban addressing*, as detailed in the notes to this permit.
 3. A concrete plinth is to continue at a height of 100mm along the property boundary to ensure no overflow from the sewer pump system enters adjoining properties.
 4. The developer is to demonstrate that the surface of the land can be drained to Council's stormwater drainage infrastructure in accordance with section 70 of the *Building Act 2016*.
 5. The surface water from the driveway and/or any paved areas is to be collected and drained to the private stormwater drainage system.
 6. Stormwater discharge from the proposed development is to be hydraulically detailed and designed by a suitably qualified hydraulic engineer, for all storm events up to and including a 20 -year Average Recurrence Interval (ARI), and for a suitable range of storm durations to identify peak discharge flows. As part of their design the hydraulic engineer is to limit stormwater discharge from the proposed development, by utilising a combination of pipe sizing and/or on-site detention, to that equivalent to only 50% of the development site being impervious. There is to be no uncontrolled overland flow discharge from the proposed development to any of the adjoining properties, for all the above nominated storm events. All design calculations are to be submitted for approval by the City Engineer prior to lodgement of any subsequent building permit applications.
 7. Subject to the above, and as part of any subsequent plumbing permit application, the proposed development is to have a suitably sized stormwater connection generally in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawings. The size and location of the proposed stormwater connection is to be designed by a suitably qualified hydraulic engineer.
 8. A new driveway is to be constructed generally in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawing TSD-R09-v3.
 9. The developer is to remove any redundant driveway crossovers and reinstate with kerb and channel and nature strip to Council's specification.
 10. Certification and details from a suitably qualified civil or structural engineer must be submitted to Council regarding all retaining walls and fill over the height of 1m.
 11. The developer is to ensure that building, driveway, and car parking areas are set at suitable levels to ensure that stormwater site drainage can be piped at suitable gradients to the required service connection point.
 12. The final driveway surface within the property is to be designed and constructed to ensure material is not washed onto the Road surface.
 13. Permeable Turfgrid is to be used for the driveway ramp and the car parking area north of unit 4.
 14. The developer is to comply with the conditions specified in the Submission to Planning Authority Notice which TasWater has required to be included in the planning permit

pursuant to section 56P(1) of the *Water and Sewerage Industry Act 2008*. A copy of this notice is attached.

Note: The following is provided for information purposes.

The development is to comply with the requirements of the current National Construction Code. The developer is to obtain the necessary building and plumbing approvals and provide the required notifications in accordance with the *Building Act 2016* prior to commencing building or plumbing work.

The developer is to manage any asbestos found during demolition in accordance with the *How to Safely Remove Asbestos Code of Practice* issued by Safe Work Australia (October 2018).

Addressing Notes (see condition 2):

- Unit 1 will become 1/52 Nicholls Street
- Unit 2 will become 2/52 Nicholls Street
- Unit 3 will become 3/52 Nicholls Street
- Unit 4 will become 4/52 Nicholls Street

Hours of Construction shall be: Monday to Friday Between 7am - 6pm, Saturday between 9am -6pm and Sunday and statutory holidays 10am - 6pm.

During the construction or use of these facilities all measures are to be taken to prevent nuisance. Air, noise and water pollution matters are subject to provisions of the *Building Regulations 2016* or the *Environmental Management and Pollution Control Act 1994*.

No burning of any waste materials (including cleared vegetation) is to be undertaken on site. Any waste material is to be removed and disposed of at a licensed refuse waste disposal facility.

A permit to work within the road reserve must be sought and granted prior to any works being undertaken within the road reserve.

In regard to condition 14 the applicant/developer should contact TasWater – Ph 136992 with any enquiries.

In regard to conditions 6-13 the applicant should contact Council's Infrastructure & Works Department – Ph 6424 0511 with any enquiries.

Enquiries regarding other conditions can be directed to Council's Development Services Department – Ph 6424 0511.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney

AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

4.2 PA2024.0012 - 15 COLLINS WAY, TUGRAH - RESIDENTIAL (SINGLE DWELLING AND OUTBUILDING)

24/60 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Murphy

SECONDED: Cr Viney

That the Planning Authority, pursuant to the provisions of the *Tasmanian Planning Scheme – Devonport 2020* and section 57 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, approve application PA2024.0012 and grant a Permit to use and develop land identified as 15 Collins Way, Tugrah for the following purposes:

- Residential (single dwelling and outbuilding)

Subject to the following conditions:

1. The Development is to proceed generally in accordance with the submitted plans referenced as:
 - a. Proposed Residence & Shed – Drawing No. 23136 (01 – REV. C, 02 – REV. C, 03 – REV. B, 04 – REV. B, 05 – REV. B, 06 – REV. A & 07 – REV. A) by PLA designs; and
 - b. Project No. 23207 dated 3/10/23 by Civilvision Consulting.Copies of which are attached and endorsed as documents forming part of this Planning Permit.
2. The developer is to ensure that all stormwater run-off is managed in accordance with the Environment Protection Authority's "Soil & Water Management on Large (greater than 250m² of ground disturbance)/Standard (less than 250m² of ground disturbance) Building & Construction Sites" recommendations.
3. A new driveway is to be constructed generally in accordance with the Tasmanian Standard Drawing TSD-R03-v3 & TSD-R04-v3.
4. The developer is to remove any redundant driveway and reinstate the nature strip to Council's specification.
5. A permit to work within the road reserve must be sought and granted prior to any works being undertaken within the road reserve.
6. The final driveway surface within the property is to be designed and constructed in a way to not allow material to be washed onto the Collins Way Road surface.
7. Any alteration to the constructed vehicular crossovers and driveway is to be in accordance with Council's plans and specifications and is to be approved by Council's City Infrastructure department.
8. The stormwater is to be discharged in accordance with the National Construction Code.
9. The developer is to install stormwater works in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Council after their preparation by a suitably qualified engineer. The works are to be supervised by a suitably qualified engineer who is to certify after installation that the works have been substantially completed in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. "Work as Executed" drawings also in electronic AutoCAD format and CCTV are to be provided at the conclusion of the work.

-
10. The proposed stormwater pipeline will require a 600mm diameter pipe and headwall from the east. A 375mm diameter pipe and headwall for the pipeline from the south. no pipe or headwall will be required to the north as this can be locally filled and shape a swale drain to the proposed grated pit. Council would prefer a Table Drain pit according to LGAT standard drawing TSD-SW13-v3 with a 1800mm lintel.

Note: The following is provided for information purposes.

Any evidence on site which suggests habitat of listed species Central North Burrowing Crayfish will require consultation and referral to the Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment under the *Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*.

The development is to comply with the requirements of the current National Construction Code. The developer is to obtain the necessary building and plumbing approvals and provide the required notifications in accordance with the *Building Act 2016* prior to commencing building or plumbing work.

Permitted hours of demolition/construction work are Monday to Friday from 7am - 6pm, Saturday from 9am - 6pm and Sunday and statutory holidays from 10am - 6pm.

The amenity of the area must not be detrimentally affected by the use or development through the:

- a. Transport of materials, goods or commodities to or from the land; or the
- a. b. Emission of noise, dust, odour, artificial light, vibration, fumes, smoke, vapour, steam, soot, ash, wastewater or any waste products.

The surface water from the driveway and/or any paved areas is to be collected and drained to the private stormwater drainage system.

In regard to condition 2-10 the applicant should contact Council's Infrastructure & Works Department – Ph 6424 0511 with any enquiries.

Enquiries regarding other conditions can be directed to Council's Development Services Department – Ph 6424 0511.

FOR: Cr Ennis, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

5 REPORTS

5.1 JULIE BURGESS INC. FINANCIAL SUPPORT AGREEMENT

Cr Hollister left the meeting at 5:57 pm.
Land Use Planning Coordinator Alex Mountney left the meeting at 5.57pm.

24/61 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Viney
SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council extend the Financial Support Agreement with Julie Burgess Incorporated, for a further two years until 30 June 2027, and index payments 6 and 7, at Hobart Year-Average CPI as at end of June.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Cr Moore

CARRIED 6 / 1

Cr Hollister returned to the meeting at 6.18 pm.

5.2 TENDER REPORT CONTRACT CT0358 OLDAKER ST / SORELL ST ROUNDABOUT

24/62 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Viney
SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council, in relation to Contract "CT0358 Oldaker St / Sorell St Roundabout":

- a) award the contract to Hardings Group for the tendered sum of \$310,174 (ex GST);
- b) allow for services relocation of \$77,000 (ex GST);
- c) note project design, management and administration costs for the project are estimated at \$56,000 (ex GST); and
- d) note a construction contingency of \$46,526 (ex GST).

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

5.3 COMBINE TELSTRA SERVICES ACCOUNTS ON 3 YEAR AGREEMENT

24/63 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Moore
SECONDED: Cr Martin

That Council by absolute majority, combine two existing Telstra accounts into one under a 36-month contract and note:

- a) minimum monthly spend is \$10,000;
- b) a Technology Fund of \$18,000 will be provided; and
- c) in accordance with the *Local Government (General) Regulations 2015*, regulation 27 (i)(i), the non-application of public tender process has occurred due to extenuating circumstances.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

5.4 ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING INFRASTRUCTURE

24/64 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister
SECONDED: Cr Enniss

That Council endorse the expansion of Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure located within the multi-level car park, and authorise the General Manager to renew and amend the existing Licence Agreement with Electric Highway Tasmania Pty Ltd to undertake the infrastructure expansion and operation.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

5.5 DRAFT REPORT TO COUNCILS - 2023/24FY HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTIONS

24/65 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Martin
SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That Council note the report from the Cradle Coast Waste Management Group on the 2023 Household Hazardous Waste Collection

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

6 INFORMATION

6.1 WORKSHOPS AND BRIEFING SESSIONS HELD SINCE THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING

24/66 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Murphy
SECONDED: Cr Sheehan

That the report advising of Workshop Sessions held since the last Council meeting be received and the information noted.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

6.2 MAYOR'S MONTHLY REPORT

24/67 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Sheehan
SECONDED: Cr Murphy

That the Mayor's monthly report be received and noted.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

6.3 GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT

24/68 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Murphy
SECONDED: Cr Viney

That the report of the General Manager be received.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney
AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

6.4 MONTHLY OPERATIONAL REPORT - MARCH 2024

24/69 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Hollister

SECONDED: Cr Viney

That Council receive and note the Monthly Operational Report for the period ending 31 March 2024.

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney

AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

7 CLOSED SESSION

24/70 RESOLUTION

MOVED: Cr Murphy

SECONDED: Cr Martin

That in accordance with Regulation 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the following be dealt with in Closed Session.

Item No	Matter	Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 Reference
3.1	Confirmation of Closed Minutes – Council Meeting – 25 March 2024	15(2)(g)
3.2	Application for Leave of Absence	15(2)(h)
4.1	Confidential Attachments	15(2)(b); 15(2)(g)
5.1	CBD Residential Development	15(2)(c)
5.2	Symphony on the Waterfront	15(2)(g)

FOR: Cr Enniss, Cr Hollister, Cr Jarman, Cr Martin, Cr Moore, Cr Murphy, Cr Sheehan and Cr Viney

AGAINST: Nil

CARRIED 8 / 0

The Mayor adjourned the meeting at 6:35 pm to reconvene in Closed Session at 6:41 pm. The Council moved out Closed Session at 7:01 pm.

Council resumed in open session at 7:01 pm.

The Closed Session of Council having met and dealt with its business resolved to report that it had determined the following:

Item No	Matter	Outcome
3.1	Confirmation of Closed Minutes – Council Meeting – 25 March 2024	Confirmed
3.2	Application for Leave of Absence	Granted
4.1	Confidential Attachments	Noted
5.1	CBD Residential Development	Endorsed
5.2	Symphony on the Waterfront	Agreed to negotiate details and make allowance in 2024/25 budget

8 CLOSURE

There being no further business on the agenda the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 7:01 pm.

Confirmed

Chairperson